The Influence of population size, distance from NYC and population density on the number of positive tests in a county in NJ on 4/24/2020.

This is a technical post. If you are not into science the bottom line is the higher the population of a county and the closer the county is to NYC the higher the number of positive tests. I now find population density does have a significant influence on the number of positive cases. (See $2^{\text {nd }}$ to last paragraph). This time I also look at the number of those who have died as well.

My original post on this was on 3/28/2020 and I updated it on 4/3/2020, 4/11/2020 and $4 / 20 / 2020$. For the rest of this post I will use the word "cases" as shorthand for "positive tests".

Fig 1a compares the numbers of cases/ 1000 people county by county. I drew in the average value of counties 8.9 (green) and the state value of 11.4 (orange). Somerset County is 8.8 .

Fig 1a Positive Tests per 1000 Residents


I also looked at deaths/100,000 in Figure 1b. The average values are for counties 4.9 (green) and the state 6.3 (orange). Somerset County is 6.0.

Fig 1b Deaths per 10,000 Residents


Does the population of a county influence the result (Fig 2a)? On the graph you will note a dotted line. That is the line that best fits (polynomial) the data. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0.79 . $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is a measure of the "goodness" of the fit. Zero is no correlation and 1 is perfect correlation. For medical issues anything around 0.8 is pretty good so this isn't bad. No surprise, larger populations have more cases. The yellow dot is Somerset County, right where you'd expect it.


Looking at deaths vs county population (Fig 2b). It is a polynomial fit $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.78$. Thus the number of deaths are influenced by the size of the population.


Does population density influence the number of cases? Fig 3a (log fit) addresses the question by looking at cases vs the number of people per square mile for each county. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.81 so population density does influence the number of cases. This is a change. I went back and looked at log fits of this data and it turns out since April $11^{\text {th }}$ there was a good $\log$ fit. I'd only been looking here at linear fits.

Fig 3a Cases vs County Population Density


What Fig 3 a is telling me is changes at low densities it matters but above densities of about 5000 people/sq mile density effects taper off. And this only seems to happen after the virus has been around a while.

Fig 3b looks at deaths vs population density. It is a power fit. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.71$ is less impressive but population density does seem to influence the death rate.


Does proximity to NYC influence the number of cases? The distances are Google Map's distance from each county to the Empire State Building. The result is in Figure 4 a . The curve fit uses a $\log$ fit. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.72$ so the closer to NYC the more cases. Somerset County is where you'd expect it to be.


Fig 4 b is an exponential fit and examines the number of deaths vs distance from NYC


Clearly there is an influence.
As a final exercise I examined how the population of a county together with its proximity to NYC influence the number of cases (Fig 5a) and the number of deaths (Fig 5 b). Both are power fits. I plotted the number of both vs population divided by the distance to NYC for each county. This has a very good correlation. By the way, Hudson County had an X axis value of almost 110,000 so for clarity I excluded it from the graph, but it was included in the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ calculation.



For the very technically minded a confounding factor is these calculations assume the population of a county is independent of its proximity to NYC. We all know that isn't true.

So, what we have learned is the greater the population of a county and the closer it is to NYC the higher the number of people who have tested positive. Population density is also a factor.

For completeness here is the data.

| County | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Cases | Area sq mi | Dist <br> NYC | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | cases/ sqmi | Pop Density | Pop/Dist |
| Atlantic | 268,539 | 410 | 555.7 | 125 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 483 | 2148 |
| Bergen | 929,999 | 13,011 | 233.0 | 22 | 14.0 | 55.8 | 3991 | 42273 |
| Burlington | 446,367 | 1,663 | 798.6 | 85.4 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 559 | 5227 |
| Camden | 507,367 | 2,255 | 221.3 | 91.5 | 4.4 | 10.2 | 2293 | 5545 |


| Cape May | 93,705 | 212 | 251.4 | 149 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 373 | 629 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cumberland | 153,400 | 338 | 483.7 | 148 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 317 | 1036 |
| Essex | 793,555 | 10,729 | 126.2 | 20.4 | 13.5 | 85.0 | 6288 | 38900 |
| Gloucester | 290,852 | 770 | 322.0 | 108 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 903 | 2693 |
| Hudson | 668,631 | 11,150 | 46.2 | 6.1 | 16.7 | 241.4 | 14476 | 109612 |
| Hunterdon | 125,051 | 419 | 427.8 | 61.4 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 292 | 2037 |
| Mercer | 368,762 | 2,591 | 224.6 | 59.7 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 1642 | 6177 |
| Middlesex | 826,698 | 8,346 | 308.9 | 41.6 | 10.1 | 27.0 | 2676 | 19873 |
| Monmouth | 623,387 | 4,787 | 468.8 | 54.6 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 1330 | 11417 |
| Morris | 494,383 | 4,236 | 460.2 | 39.3 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 1074 | 12580 |
| Ocean | 591,939 | 4,868 | 628.8 | 85.1 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 941 | 6956 |
| Passaic | 504,041 | 8,479 | 184.6 | 41.1 | 16.8 | 45.9 | 2731 | 12264 |
| Salem | 63,336 | 120 | 331.9 | 128 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 191 | 495 |
| Somerset | 330,176 | 2,488 | 301.8 | 48.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 1094 | 6780 |
| Sussex | 142,298 | 680 | 519.0 | 57.2 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 274 | 2488 |
| Union | 553,066 | 9,972 | 102.9 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 97.0 | 5377 | 26088 |
| Warren | 106,293 | 613 | 356.9 | 62.8 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 298 | 1693 |
| Counties |  |  |  | Ave | 7.7 |  |  |  |
| State | 8,881,845 | 88,137 |  | Ave | 9.9 |  |  |  |

